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#### Abstract

Recent field theoretical calculations for lattice animals by Lubensky and Isaacson yield $d_{\mathrm{c}}=8$ as the critical dimension and provide a first-order $\epsilon$-expansion for the exponent $\theta$. Support for these predictions may be obtained by extending our previous work on the exact enumeration of site and bond animals on a $d$-dimensional simple hypercubic lattice to arbitrary $d$.


Recently, a field theory of branched polymers in the dilute limit has been presented by Lubensky and Isaacson (1979). Their results for branched polymers in a good solvent also apply to the statistics of lattice animals, which are important in the theory of percolation (Stauffer 1979). Assume asymptotic forms of the usual kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{b} \sim b^{-\theta} \lambda_{b}^{b}, \quad N_{s} \sim s^{-\theta} \lambda_{s}^{s} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the total number of animals with $b$ bonds or $s$ sites. The growth parameters $\lambda_{b}$ and $\lambda_{s}$ are lattice-dependent, and increase with increasing coordination number. Numerical evidence (Sykes and Glen 1976, Sykes et al 1976, Gaunt et al 1976, Gaunt and Ruskin 1978) suggests that the exponent $\theta$ is the same for both bond and site animals, and for all lattices of a given dimension. In the field theory, this result is consistent with the loop fugacity being zero at the animals' fixed point (Lubensky and Isaacson 1979). Lubensky and Isaacson find that the Gaussian approximation breaks down below a critical dimension $d_{\mathrm{c}}=8$. For dimensions $d \geqslant 8$, mean field theory is valid and hence $\theta=\frac{5}{2}$. Alternatively, $\theta=\frac{5}{2}$ can be obtained (Gaunt et al 1976, Gaunt and Ruskin 1978) from the exact results of Fisher and Essam (1961) for a Cayley tree. For $d<d_{\mathrm{c}}$, Lubensky and Isaacson (1979) have derived an $\epsilon$-expansion for $\theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{5}{2}-\frac{1}{12} \epsilon+\ldots \quad(\epsilon=8-d) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to first order in $\epsilon$. The aim in this Letter is to test the validity of $d_{c}=8$ and the $\epsilon$-expansion (2) by extending the exact enumeration work of Gaunt et al (1976) and Gaunt and Ruskin (1978).

For site animals, Gaunt et al (1976) enumerated $N_{s}$ on $d$-dimensional simple hypercubic lattices for $d=2$ to 7 , and $s \leqslant 9$ for $d=6$ and $7, s \leqslant 10$ for $d=5, s \leqslant 11$ for $d=4, s \leqslant 13$ for $d=3$, and $s \leqslant 19$ for $d=2$. Similarly, for bond animals, Gaunt and Ruskin (1978) enumerated $N_{b}$ for $b \leqslant 10$ for $d=4,5,6$ and $7, b \leqslant 11$ for $d=3$, and $b \leqslant 15$ for $d=2$. In order to test $d_{c}=8$, we have extended our data to $d=8$ and 9 for $s \leqslant 9$ and $b \leqslant 10$. To understand how this was done, consider first the site problem. One
Table 1. Coefficients $\boldsymbol{A}_{\xi}^{s}$ for site animals.

| $s$ | $\xi=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 32 | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6912 | 8648 | 61 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 153664 | 254800 | 129288 | 21225 | 758 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | 4194304 | 8749056 | 6160640 | 1688424 | 154741 | 2723 | 1123143 |
| 8 | 136048896 | 343901376 | 313921008 | 125055400 | 20762073 | 9908 | 1 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Coefficients $\alpha_{\xi}^{b}$ for bond animals.

| $b$ | $\xi=0$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 32 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 400 | 420 | 86 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6912 | 10368 | 4164 | 370 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 153664 | 301840 | 186552 | 38205 | 1626 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 4194304 | 10223616 | 8637760 | 2934560 | 343380 | 7310 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 136048896 | 396809280 | 427708848 | 207353960 | 43517697 | 3086049 | 33464 | 1 |  |  |
| 9 | 5120000000 | 17408000000 | 22888035968 | 14551923200 | 4552863040 | 628406112 | 27948467 | 155444 | 1 |  |
| 10 | 219503494144 | 853070397696 | 1326024805120 | 1048268558064 | 442224105756 | 95175488385 | 8975840816 | 255716421 | 730532 | 1 |

may write for all dimensions

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}(d)=1, \\
& N_{s}(d)=\sum_{\xi=1}^{s-1} A_{\xi}^{s}\binom{d}{s-\xi} . \quad(s \geqslant 2), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $A_{1}^{s}, A_{2}^{s}$ and $A_{3}^{s}$ are given explicitly as functions of $s$ by Gaunt et al (see (2.4)). Knowledge of these three functions, together with the exact enumeration data mentioned above, is sufficient to calculate successive $A_{\xi}^{s}$ numerically for all $s \leqslant 9$. These are presented in table 1. A similar procedure may be followed for the bond problem. The analogue of (3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{b}(d)=\sum_{\xi=0}^{b-1} \alpha_{\xi}^{b}\binom{d}{b-\xi} \quad(b \geqslant 1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and general expressions for $\alpha_{0}^{b}, \alpha_{1}^{b}$ and $\alpha_{2}^{b}$ are given by Gaunt and Ruskin (see (2.4)). Numerical values of $\alpha_{\xi}^{b}$ for all $b \leqslant 10$ are given in table 2. The coefficients in tables 1 and 2 , together with equations (3) and (4), enable one to calculate $N_{s}(d)$ for $s \leqslant 9$ and $N_{b}(d)$ for $b \leqslant 10$ for arbitrary dimension. We give the explicit values for $d=8$ and 9 in table 3, since these are the numbers we study numerically.

The data in table 3 have been analysed by following exactly the same procedure, based upon ratio and Padé approximant techniques (Gaunt and Guttmann 1974), as was used for $d \leqslant 7$ (Gaunt et al 1976, Gaunt and Ruskin 1978). Estimates of $\lambda$ and $\theta$, and values of $\lambda^{(\sigma)}$, for both site and bond animals are presented in table 4 for $2 \leqslant d \leqslant 9$.

Table 3. Total numbers of site and bond animals per lattice site for simple hypercubic lattices of dimensions $d=8$ and 9 .

|  | Site animals |  | Bond animals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $d=8$ | $d=9$ | $d=8$ | $d=9$ |
| $N_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 8 | 9 |
| $N_{2}$ | 8 | 9 | 120 | 153 |
| $N_{3}$ | 120 | 153 | 2360 | 3417 |
| $N_{4}$ | 2276 | 3309 | 53936 | 88785 |
| $N_{5}$ | 49204 | 81837 | 1356384 | 2540385 |
| $N_{6}$ | 1156688 | 2205489 | 36449288 | 77712933 |
| $N_{7}$ | 28831384 | 63113061 | 1028383408 | 2496998097 |
| $N_{8}$ | 750455268 | 1887993993 | 30118187174 | 83307378987 |
| $N_{9}$ | 20196669078 | 58441956579 | 908484362016 | 2863316024021 |
| $N_{10}$ |  |  | 28066925011960 | 100816360575435 |

The estimates, $\lambda^{(\sigma)}$, of $\lambda$ are obtained by truncating the appropriate $1 / \sigma$-expansion, where $\sigma=2 d-1$, after the last term (see Gaunt and Ruskin, (3.7) and (3.8)). The results for $d=7$ (site problem only), 8 and 9 are new; the rest are taken from Gaunt et al (1976) and Gaunt and Ruskin (1978), and are repeated here in order that the overall behaviour may more easily be discerned.

It can be seen from table 4 that the estimates of $\theta$ for site animals are in broad agreement with the corresponding estimates for bond animals, but have larger uncertainties. Accordingly, we focus our discussion on bond animals, since it is for these that our evidence is most compelling. In figure 1, the estimates of $\theta$ are plotted

Table 4. Summary of estimates of critical parameters for site and bond animals for simple hypercubic lattices of dimensions $d=2$ to 9 .

| $d$ | Site animals |  |  | Bond animals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda$ | $\lambda^{(\sigma)}$ | $\theta$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda^{(\sigma)}$ | $\theta$ |
| 2 | $4.06 \pm 0.02$ | 1.875 | $1 \cdot 00 \pm 0.05$ | $5 \cdot 210 \pm 0 \cdot 006$ | $5 \cdot 250$ | $1 \cdot 00 \pm 0 \cdot 01$ |
| 3 | $8.35 \pm 0.04$ | $7 \cdot 568$ | $1.50 \pm 0.09$ | $10.62 \pm 0.08$ | 11.230 | $1.55 \pm 0.05$ |
| 4 | $13.35 \pm 0.2$ | $13 \cdot 148$ | $1 \cdot 90 \pm 0 \cdot 15$ | $16.3 \pm 0.4$ | 16.931 | $1.90 \pm 0.07$ |
| 5 | $18.8 \pm 0.4$ | 18.673 | $2 \cdot 25 \pm 0 \cdot 30$ | $22.1 \pm 0.8$ | $22 \cdot 522$ | $2 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1$ |
| 6 | $24.4 \pm 0.9$ | $24 \cdot 169$ | $2.5 \pm 0.4$ | $27.75 \pm 1.0$ | 28.060 | $2 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 2$ |
| 7 | $29.5 \pm 1.5$ | 29.648 | $2 \cdot 3 \pm 0.3$ | $33.25 \pm 1.5$ | 33.567 | $2.4 \pm 0.2$ |
| 8 | $35 \cdot 0 \pm 1 \cdot 8$ | $35 \cdot 116$ | $2 \cdot 4 \pm 0.3$ | $39 \cdot 0 \pm 2 \cdot 0$ | 39.057 | $2 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 2$ |
| 9 | $40 \cdot 5 \pm 2 \cdot 2$ | $40 \cdot 578$ | $2 \cdot 45 \pm 0 \cdot 3$ | $44.5 \pm 2 \cdot 7$ | $44 \cdot 534$ | $2 \cdot 6 \pm 0.35$ |



Figure 1. Estimates of $\theta$ from bond animals plotted against lattice dimensionality $d$. The broken curve is a smooth interpolation; the full lines show field theory predictions.
against $d$. It is easy to draw a smooth curve (shown broken) through all the estimates for $d \leqslant 8$ and which passes through the point $\theta=0, d=1$. (The exact value $\theta=0$ for $d=1$ follows trivially from the result $N_{b}(d=1)=1$ for all $b$.) The field theory predictions are drawn as full lines in figure 1 ; namely, the first-order $\epsilon$-expansion result ( 2 ) for $d \leqslant 8$,
and $\theta=\frac{5}{2}$ for $d \geqslant 8$. It should be noted that the uncertainties in $\theta$ are sufficiently large to just admit $d_{\mathrm{c}}=6$, which is the critical dimension for percolation processes. However, the results clearly favour $d_{c}=8$ (over $d_{f}=6$ ), and we think this figure provides quite strong support for the field theoretical calculations of Lubensky and Isaacson (1979). We understand that this conclusion is further supported by some recent work of Harris and Walker (1980).

I am grateful to T C Lubensky for a preprint of his work and for helpful correspondence.
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